

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,420	02/18/2004	Rajesh Bhaskar	1738.002US1	3050	
75	90 10/19/2006		EXAM	INER	
Schwegman, Lundberg,			LEE, MI	LEE, MICHAEL	
Woessner & Kluth, P.A. P.O. Box 2938		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Minneapolis, M	IN 55402		2622		
			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2000	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/781,420	BHASKAR ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	M. Lee	2622
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 Fe</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)    Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.     4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw     5)    Claim(s) <u>14-18</u> is/are allowed.      6)    Claim(s) <u>1,9,19,23,24,30,31,34 and 35</u> is/are re     7)    Claim(s) <u>2-8, 10-13, 20-22, 25-29, 32, 33</u> is/are     8)    Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	ejected.  objected to.	•
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the original transfer of the original transfer or the original transfer of the original transfer or the o	epted or b) objected to by the Elrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te

Application/Control Number: 10/781,420 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 9, 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishi et al. (5,144,414).

Regarding claim 1, Nishi discloses a ghost-canceling device showing a receiving step (L1), an edge parameter-computing step (14), a synchronization-detecting step (15), and a ghost-canceling step (16-8, 12, 11).

Regarding claim 9, in addition of above, the synchronization signal in Nishi is a horizontal sync, such as claimed.

Regarding claims 34 and 35, Nishi inherently includes a means for storing the edge parameters.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 19, 23, 24, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishi et al. (5,144,414).

Application/Control Number: 10/781,420 Page 3

Art Unit: 2622

Regarding claims 19, 23, 24, 30 and 31, in addition of rejection above, Nishi does not specify the computing platform or processor as claimed. In any event, the invention of Nishi is operated in digital domain because the input signal is converted into digital signal by A/D converter 8. The Examiner takes Official Notice that using computing devices or processors for processing digital signals is well known in the art. Hence, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ computing devices or processors for carrying out the ghost canceling functions as claimed.

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 2-8, 10-13, 20-22, 25-29, 32, and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 14-18 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Utsunomiya et al. (4,357,631) shows a differentiator 11.

Onishi et al. (4,389,623) shows a differentiator 5.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Lee whose telephone number 571-272-7349. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9 to 6.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,420

Art Unit: 2622

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached on 571-272-7593. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**N**4: Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2622 Page 4